

## HEALTH & SCIENCE

### Abortion/Breast Cancer Link



Joel Brind, Ph.D., is a professor of Human Biology and Endocrinology and Deputy Chair for Biology at Baruch College, CUNY, and co-founder of the Breast Cancer Prevention Institute. He has been teaching since 1986, and researching the abortion/breast cancer (ABC) link since 1992. He recently reported that within the past decade “literally dozens of studies” have come out of Asia and the Mideast indicating “the linkage is obvious”. Dr. Brind cites the “now raging breast cancer epidemic in China following on the infamous ‘one-child policy’ instituted in 1980. The role of abortion there became clear in 2013 [with] Hubei Huang et al. publishing a systematic review and meta-analysis of no less than three dozen studies from mainland China alone.”

In 1996, Brind and his colleagues “documented with [their] own review and meta-analysis, among world-wide studies at that time – a 30% increase in breast cancer risk among women with a history of any induced abortions... Literally millions of women are paying the price for what I have often described as a cover-up. All these results mean that the trade association of the people that perform abortions (associations such as the American College of Ob-Gyns and the Royal College of ObGyns) have a major PR problem on their hands. Sure, you can recycle the old lies promulgated by the US National Cancer Institute (NCI) back in 2003, but the 14-year-old conclusions about allegedly ‘safe abortion’ are a bit old and the ‘evidence’ almost laughably outdated.”

Brind refers to the recent “fact sheet” distributed by the abortion advocacy group Abortion Law Reform Association New Zealand as a “refurbished pack of lies”. The fact sheet refers to NCI’s 2003 ‘workshop’ findings that “neither induced nor spontaneous abortions are linked to a rise (sic) in breast cancer risk.” Brind states that he was one of the experts at the ‘workshop’, and that they “were not even permitted to examine the data during this charade of one-sided presentations.” Brind states that the NCI disburses grant money for most breast cancer research. Brind wrote a minority report contradicting the findings. One researcher who declined to collaborate on the minority report told Brind, “I have to live with these people every day; they have to sign off on my grants.” The NCI calls Brind’s report a ‘minority dissenting comment’ on their website, but provides “no link to the text or even a mention of the author’s name.” (To access the report, go to [bcpinstitute.org](http://bcpinstitute.org).)

The first “fact” on the New Zealand fact sheet states, “Many studies with strong research designs conducted throughout the world with hundreds of thousands of women unanimously conclude that women who have had either spontaneous or induced abortions do not have a subsequent elevated risk for developing breast cancer.” Brind asks, “How many is many? They don’t say. Nor – most importantly – do they say what proportion of existing studies they are talking about. It is only to state the obvious that the absence of such basic data is very disturbing.” Brind then comments on the phrase “studies with strong research designs”. He notes that the phrase sounds “impressive, even meaningful, but it has no meaning whatsoever, because they don’t say whether they are good studies or bad. (Most of these ‘many’ are actually probably fraudulent,

and [Brind has] published deconstructions of them many times in...peer reviewed medical literature.” Brind then comments on the phrase that these studies ‘unanimously conclude’: “So, for example, say you have 100 studies. 20 come to one conclusion (say, that there’s no link between abortion and breast cancer), and 80 of them come to the opposite conclusion (that there is a link between abortion and breast cancer). Well, in a sense the 20 studies can be called ‘many’, right? Taking the ‘many’ (20) studies (even though which in fact they comprise a small minority of the 100 total studies), we can truthfully say that these ‘many’ studies ‘unanimously conclude’ that there is no link... This should set a new world’s Olympic record in gymnastics – verbal gymnastics, that is! But real facts – like the fact that abortion does indeed raise a woman’s risk of breast cancer – are not subject to majority rule by organizations which engage in such deceptive marketing. Yes, they can be denied by a majority of health ministries, abortion associations, even voluntary anti-cancer charities (in reality, all the same cadre of politically correct population controllers), and you may arrive at any ‘consensus’ you like. Facts – real facts – are indeed stubborn things. The earth is round...and abortion increases a woman’s risk of breast cancer.” (*LifeNews.com*, 6/20/17)

#### October is Breast Cancer Awareness Month

Know where your donations are going. Susan G. Komen partners with Planned Parenthood. Three pro-life breast cancer research groups are:  
[www.abortionbreastcancer.com](http://www.abortionbreastcancer.com),  
[www.ppolycarp.org](http://www.ppolycarp.org) and [www.bcpinstitute.org](http://www.bcpinstitute.org)

#### Down Syndrome/Eugenics

Iceland recently reported that they “are on the verge of eliminating Down Syndrome”. Fr. Shenan Boquet of Human Life International asks, “But how has Iceland achieved this seeming miracle of modern medicine? Peel back the cheerful headline, and one discovers a true Pandora’s box of horrors.” Fr. Boquet notes that prenatal testing for Down’s in Iceland is nearly universal, and nearly 100% of the children diagnosed with Down’s are aborted. **(Iceland has not eliminated Down’s, they have eliminated people with Down’s.)** But Iceland is not alone. Some studies show that 9 out of every 10 children diagnosed with Down’s are aborted. “Many western countries like the US, France and Denmark – Denmark claiming a 98% abortion rate for unborn children diagnosed with Down syndrome – are active participants in this atrocious act of discrimination.”

Fr. Boquet continues, “As horrifying as a government-imposed eugenics program is, surely there is something equally horrifying in the fact that the eugenic mentality has penetrated so deeply into the public mindset that there is no longer any need for government intervention: so that barely a single mother or father can be found...left to fight the zeitgeist and unconditionally welcome their unborn child with Down’s for the mere fact that he or she is *their baby*, “imperfections” and all.” One study of those living with Down’s found that 99% of them are “happy” with their lives. Another study found that 99% of the parents of children with Down’s love their child, and 97% of them were “proud of them”. An Icelandic woman with Down’s stated, “They only see Down syndrome. They don’t see me. It doesn’t feel good. I want people to see that I am just like everybody else.” (HLI, 9/5/17)

Submitted by Regina Carbonaro -631-243-1435